#### Court of Appeals, State of Michigan

#### **ORDER**

People of MI v Michon Desmond Houston

Kurtis T. Wilder Presiding Judge

Docket No.

267865

Michael J. Talbot

LC No.

03-001609-01

Kirsten Frank Kelly

Judges

The Court orders that the delayed application for leave to appeal is DENIED for lack of merit in the grounds presented. MCR 2.119(A)(2) provides that except as permitted by the court, the combined length of any motion and brief may not exceed twenty pages. The rules of civil procedure apply to criminal cases except as otherwise provided by rule or statute, when it clearly appears that they apply to civil actions only, or when a statute or court rule provides a like or different procedure. MCR 6.001(D). MCR 6.502(C) governs the form of motions for relief from judgment, but it does not contain a provision that addresses the length of the motion. The absence of a page limitation does not constitute a different procedure that contradicts MCR 2.119(A)(2). The trial court has the discretion to allow a defendant to file a motion for relief from judgment that exceeds the twenty-limit limit. There is no showing that the trial court abused its discretion in this case.

Presiding Judge



A true copy entered and certified by Sandra Schultz Mengel, Chief Clerk, on

JUN 12 2006

Date

Chief Clerk

Top Discrete to Describe Discrete Discrete 3.190 (Est Described August Discrete, 11. 1.22)

Pag Pagda af tar State af to type v. Herbar D. Tradeba. Disk to

Para Clerk,

25.34.33 27.30 motokal for Siling vith the Oracle blue origin to the second (2) applies of Potentiata (pplication for loave to appeal, Tetian Tee to the Consideration, and blues (3) copied of the orders on applicate for the County of Jayan, and the order issue? For the Oracle Appeals.

Pastactfally on Entri

and the state of the second of

and the state of t

(2) はおおから、 はは新ませいます。 こうしょう できらればずる (1) はなり (2) はずりのできる。 (1) はいましょう (2) はいましょう。

There is a state of

1-12-06

NINE CREEKS PROBLETS

Type David Paragonia 1991 Del Nabbine Del Debroid, Di 1992:

Yichou 7. Touston **#**315961 Defanient-typellant in Pro Per Pellery Orest Correctional Racifity 1707 (1. Newster Sighway Laste, N. 1006) Bayes and collect Thu 127674

James of Appendix 15. 248742

Samer Caura 12. <u>03-/669-0/</u>

ACELOR OF ABADIAS

Papiliotatot koa Colea bo eaadel

VERTEREDATEDA

mark rate of the state of the state of

principle of the second princi

Taylor at Theory Tay <u>127674</u>

Dance of the sale 1.248742

14 Year 12 Year 1 14 03-1609-01

Tay on Consey Prosecutor Street will for Platect 55-5, ps 10 as 1841 (S. Josephon Jr. Teanset, It 60223

n.,,

Taylor Darriy Tookabetee Tebroory For Mutati TERALORIA

Public Coll Policy State on <u>F-23-06</u> the infectional efficience the Court to possiblicately, Alterta Spilitation For Serve to Typesi.

Pagyablifully Tooling t,

itolog D. Tematag (CIT) Telah matelpuni Last India (Colt)

in in the property of the state of the stat

1-12-16

#### ET MAS COMPANIES ASSESSED

PROPOS AN THE THIRD OF TOUROUS PONTETTERAL PROBLETS

Depart Court 1 . 127674

248742

Special Control to 03-/609-0/

Attorney for Plaintafff-Novellag

Michen D. Tousens 7315451 Nefortant-Nyaellast In Pro Per Ionia, in Alaks



- different Opinions and Orders in this Case, July 25, 2005, lead-ther to
- 2. The lefer authropolluse filefor motion for relief for this ever on July 18, 1000, and in a "700 0.110 limits of original and brief to 10 to the to 10% 3.70%(0)%. (Pas objected Orlan Incet, Only 25, 2005)
- Defautest-spinifiest cosmon the Motion for called from [14] in the Community Turpost 17, 2005. The Court Ordered that the rotion be determed and in Exercise

- is report, this time with as Prier that was republicant for the Store of the Company of the Store of the Company of Section 1997 and the Company of Section 19
- I. In Tovertoc 22, 2005 the Court or brief that defect one of the description of the property of Appeals applies bely to the Court bird rection to order. Nat that defendent-appeals was appeal the order fact for the 2, the 2011, 2015. (See appeal order face! Sevenber 22, 2015.)
- 2. Parestat to 107 7.005(0)(0), the Pearmany ID, 1007 and I many ID, 1007 actions of the top Discount Court to this Cases are violately of the parestal at 10 A1010 to the lists of Discount Court for Emphasization of the principal of the Language at the parestal at 10 A1010 to the Language at the langu
- 7. The Twent of the wise a solficity stated that the CO-pay to the company of the control of the

TABLETTE, Infraint-Appellant asks the Dance to Jerot this just the selection of the selecti

1-12-06

1727 to the souther to

Defanisat-Abustisat Is 2-5 2 Dellary Oresk Corrections 7 :: 18 : 1707 (1708)

1-12-06

ANNA ESTES, Notery Public State of Michigan Gounty of Ionia

My Commission Expires June 24, 2013 Adding in the County of LOW I A

En den opene de kaarkel

TORRER OF CIRCURSEN OF TERMENTS

---

TOURS IN TRANSPORTED

Page 18 Court 1 to 127674

Tours of Agreets 17.248742

Lower Coart To.<u>03-/609-0/</u>

state of Today.

Construct Today.

#### 20002 08 2237100

I, dishap I. Service etase that so 1-12-06 I did were  $\gamma$  i.t. that is still and two (2) copies of Defendant-typeliants matter  $\gamma$  is a very  $\gamma$  and systemical for leave to appeal +0;

Charles at the Court

Count of Appeals 2022 Past Oriet Contavirt Decress. ME 53202

Taking rasi the praceding fluction that the preceding state of the preceding state of the desired and heat of a

Compose Sully Said Control,

AD 160 M. Markton III. I. Nasaata asaa ka marka

Defasiant-Appellast In Orlino Bellang Onsek Correctional Recibi

1727 C. Maywater Mig. J. Spota, M. Comb.

<u> 1-12-06</u>

ANNA ESTES, Notary Public State of Michigan County of Ionia

My Commission Expires Lines 24, 2011 Acting in the County of Low 14

#### PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN

Plaintiff.

MICHON HOUSTON	Case No. 03-1609 Hon. Vera Massey Jones
Defendant.	
/	

#### **OPINION AND ORDER**

At a session of said Court held in the Frank Murphy Hall of Justice-Criminal Division of the City of Detroit, State of Michigan, County of Wayne on: July 25, 2005

PRESENT: Honorable Vera Massey Jones

Defendant having filed a Motion for relief from judgment and a brief consisting of more than 50 pages.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT defendant's motion and brief be returned to defendant. MCR 2.119 limits a motion and brief to 20 pages. MCR 2.119 is a civil procedure rule which applies to criminal cases pursuant to MCR 6.001(D).

July 25, 2005

DATED

VERA MASSEY JONES

3<sup>RD</sup> Circuit Court Judge

#### PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN

Plaintiff.

Case No. <u>03-1609</u> Hon. Vera Massey Jones

MICHON HOUSTON

Defendant.

#### **ORDER**

At a session of said Court held in the Frank Murphy Hall of Justice-Criminal Division of the City of Detroit, State of Michigan, County of Wayne on: September 8, 2005

PRESENT: Honorable Vera Massey Jones

Defendant having filed a Motion for brief exceeding 20 pages, the court having read same and having reviewed the court file.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the Motion be and is hereby <u>DENIED</u>.

Return brief and motion to defendant. Fifty pages for the Court of Appeals is for a court that does nothing but appeals. This is a trial court. You must limit your motion and brief to 20 pages.

September 8, 2005

**DATED** 

VERA MASSEY JONÉS 3<sup>RD</sup> Circuit Court Judge

## PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN

Plaintiff.

MICHON HOUSTON

Case No. <u>03-1609</u> Hon. Vera Massey Jones

Defendant.

## OPINION AND ORDER

At a session of said Court held in the Frank Murphy Hall of Justice-Criminal Division of the City of Detroit, State of Michigan, County of Wayne on: November 28, 2005

PRESENT: Honorable Vera Massey Jones

Defendant having resent a massive motion and brief.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT defendant must limit his motion and brief to 20 pages. An unpublished opinion from the Court of Appeals applies only to the court that received the order. Your must appeal my order dated September 8, 2005.

November 28, 2005

DATED

Vera Massey Jones

3rd Circuit Court Judge

Case 2:08-cv-10963-LPZ-VMM ECF No. 7-12 filed 09/17/08 PageID.856 Page 12 of 34 ourt of Appeals, State of Michigan

#### ORDER

People of MI v Kenneth P. Byrd	Presiding Judge
Docket # 201394	Donald E. Holbrook, Jr.
L.C. # 87-000901-FC	David H. Sawyer

The Court orders that the motion for rehearing is GRANTED.

Pursuant to MCR 7.205(D)(2), the February 19, 1997 and January 29, 1997 orders of the Macomb Circuit Court in this cause are VACATED, and this cause is REMANDED to the Macomb Circuit Court for further proceedings on defendant's motion for relief from judgment not inconsistent with this order. The 20-page limitation of MCR 2.119(A)(2) is inapplicable to motions for relief from judgment in proceedings under subchapter 6.500 of the court rules because MCR 6.502(C) sets forth the requirements for motions filed under subchapter 6.500. See MCR 6.001(D)(3).

By this order, this Court expresses no opinion as to the merits of defendant's motion for relief from judgment.

This Court retains no further jurisdiction in this cause.

residing Judge

A true copy entered and certified by Ella Williams, Chief Clerk, on

COURT OF APPEA

October 28, 1997

Lella William Chief Clerk

Judges

Case 2:08-cv-10963-LPZ-VMM ECF No. 7-12 filed 09/17/08 PageID.857 Page 13 of 34

#### STATE OF MICHICAN

#### IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN PLAINTIFF-APPELLEE

-vs-

MICHON D. HOUSTON DEFENDANT-APPELLANT Supreme Court No. 127674

Court of Appeals No. 248742

Lower Court Vo. 03-/609-0/

Wayne County Prosecutor Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellee 1441 St. Antoine St. Detroit, Mi 43226

Michon D. Houston #315461 Defendant-Appellant In Pro Per Bellamy Creek Correctional Facility 1727 W. Bluewater Highway Ionia, Mi 48845

#### MOTION FOR IMMEDIATE CONSIDERATION

Defendant-Appellant states in support of this action:

- 1. On \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ defendant-appellant filed an application for leave to appeal.
- 2. If defendant-appellant is not granted the relief sought in the application, he will suffer irreparable harm because defendant-appellant has raised six (6) issues challenging his conviction and sentence. And these issues have considerable merit and involve legal principles of major significance in the area of post conviction relief. And defendant-appellant will not be able to successfully challenge his post conviction if the application is not granted.
- 3. Further, a defendant proceeding under subchapter 5.500 has two (2) major hurdles to overcome (on each individual issue he raises). Without eliminating some of his issues or the controlling authority, defendant cannot possibly include all of his issues in a 20 page document and still meet the requirements under MCR 6.508(D)(3).

4. Also defendant-appellant as of April 22, 2005 has a twelve (12) month time period to seek relief in the Federal Courts in a Writ of Mabeas Corpus. Defendant-appellants time period will have exceeded, therefore he will not . be able to seek relief in the Federal Courts if he is unable to exhaust all state remedies within the twelve (12) month time period. Having the motion for relief from judgment and brief in support filed is a major part of defendant-appellants exhaustion of state remedies. Without the motion for relief from judgment and brief in support filed within the twelve (12) wonth time period, defendant-appellant will not be able to present these issues to the Federal Courts because of default.

WHEREFORE, defendant-appellant asks the court to grant immediate consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

Defendant-Appellant  $I\eta$ Pro-

Bellamy Creek Correctional Facility 1727 W. Bluewater Mighway Ionia, Mi 48848

Dated: 1-12-06

#### VERIFICATION

I, Michon D. Houston having read the preceding aver that the statements herein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Respectfully submitted.

Houston #316

Defendant-Appellant In

Per

Sallasy Gree't Correctional Tacility 1727 W. Gluewater Sighway Ionia, %i 43345

1-12-06 Omm) Est.

ANNA ESTES, Notary Public State of Michigan County of Ionia My Commission Expires June 24,20 Acting in the County of STAL OF ALOUESTA

CIRCARI SCIENCE OF APPORTS

PROPLE OF THE STATE OF "ICHIOA". PLAINTIFF-APPELLISE

~V5-

MICROA D. ROUSTON DEFENDANT-APPELLANT

Name County Prosecutor Attorney for Plaistiff-Appelled 1941 St. Antoide St. Detroit, %i 49225

Michon D. Bouston #315451
Defendant-Appellant In Pro Per
Bellany Creek Correctional Facility
1727 V. Bluewater Highway
Iomia, Mi 48846

Supreme court Vo. 127674

Court of Appeals Vo. 348742

Lower Coart Mo. <u>03-/609-0/</u>

NOTICE OF HEARING

APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL W BRIEF IN SUPPORT

VERIFICATION

AFFIDAVIT OF INDIGENCY

Motion to Waive Fee's and Costs

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

JUDGEMENT APPEALED FROM AND RELIEF SOUGHT	
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	-
STATEMENT OF APPELLATE JURISDICTION	<b></b>
STATEMENT OF QUESTIONS PRESENTED	<u>IV</u>
APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL	<b>W</b> 1
STATEMENT OF FACTS	3
ARGUMENT	4
RELIEF	6

### TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

#### MICHIGAN COURT RULES

]	MCR 2.119	1,2,4,5
1	MCR 6.001(D)	1
]	MCR 7.205 (D)(2)	à
1	MCR 2.119 (A) (2)	2,4,5
Francis	MCR 6.001 (D)(3)	
ī.	MCR 6.500	1,4,5

CASES

People v. Kenneth P. Byrd, Docket #201394, L.C. #87-000901-F.C. unpublished 3339

### STATEMENT OF APPELLATE JURISDICTION

The The Order/Opinion was entered in this case on July 25, 2005; The Motion for Relief from judgement was filed on April 22, 2005; The

Jurisdiction is conferred on this Court by Const 1963, art 1, 20; MCL 600.308(1), MSA 27A.308(1); MCL 770.03, MSA28.1100; MCR 6.425(F)(3); MCR 7.203 (A); and MCR 7.204.

## STATEMENT OF QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. WAS DEFENDANT DENIED THE RIGHT TO FILE A MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGE-MENT AND BREIF IN SUPPORT WHEN THE CIRCUIT COURT DENIED DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGEMENT DUE TO IT BEING OVER @ DEPAGES.

Trial Court answers, "No".

Defendant-Appellant answers, "Yes".

#### STATE OF MICHIGAN

#### IN THE COURT OF APPRAIS

PROPER OF THE STATE OF AICHIGAN PLAINTIES APPRIADE

-vs-

MICTOR D. MOUSTON DEFENDANT-APPELLANT Jupress Court Fo. 127674

Court of Appeals to. 248742

Lover Court To. 03-/609-0/

Vayne County Prosecutor Attorney for Plaintiff-Appelled 1441 St. Antoine St. Detroit, Mi 43223

Michon D. Jouston #315451
Defendant-Appellant In Pro Per Bellany Creek Correctional Facility
1727 J. Bluewater Highway
Tomia, Mi 43345

#### APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL

Gefendant-appellant states in support of this application:

- 1. The Third Circuit Court for the County of Mayne issued three (3) different Opinions and Orders in this Case, July 25, 2005, September 3, 2005, and November 23, 2005.
- 2. The defendant-appellant filed a notion for relief from judgment and supporting brief seeking relief from judgment of conviction and sentence in this case on April 22, 2005. The Third Circuit Court ordered that defendant-appellants notion and brief in support be returned to defendant, on July 25,2005, stating: "YGR 2.119 limits a notion and brief to 20 pages. YGR 2.119 is a Civil procedure rule which applies to criminal cases pursuant to YGR 5.001(0)". (See attached Order dated, July 25, 2005)
- 3. Defendant-appellant resent the "ction for relief from judgment and brief in support along with a sotion to file brief exceeding 20 pages, on August 17, 2005. The Court Ordered that the rotion be returned and is hereby

denied, on September 2, 2005, stating: "fifty pages for the Court of Appeals is for a Court that does nothing but appeals, and that defendent must limit notion and brief to 20 pages. (See attached Order Astad September 2, 2005)

- 4. Defendant-appellant resent dotion for relief from judgment and brief in support, this time with an Order that was unpublished from the Court of Appeals, People of Mi v. Fenneth P. Syrd, Docket #201394, L.C. #07-200901-30.
- 5. On Movember 23, 2005 the Court ordered that defendant-appellant again must limit his notion and brief to 20 pages, and that an unpublished opinion from the Court of Appeals applies only to the Court that recieved the order. And that defendant-appellant must appeal the order dated September 2, 2005. (See attached order dated Movember 23, 2005)
- 3. Pursuant to YCR 7.205(D)(2), the Sebruary 17, 1997 and January 23, 1997 orders of the Macoab Circuit Court in this Cause are vacated, and this cause is REMANDED to the Macoab Circuit Court for further proceedings on defendants action for relief from Judgment not inconsistent with this order. The 20 page limitation of MCR 2.119(A)(2) is isosphicable to actions for relief from Judgment in proceedings under subchapter 3.500 of the Court rules because MCR 5.502(c) sets forth the requirements for actions filled under subchapter 5.500. See MCR 5.001(D)(3). The above is quoted from the Court of Appeals Order. (See Court of Appeals Order)
- 7. The Court of Appenla specifically stated that the 20-page limitation of MC2 2.119(A)(2) is imapplicable to motions for relief from judgment in proceedings under mobilizer 5.500 of the Court rules. In which the trial court used MC2 2.119 to long defendant-appellants motion for relief from judgment and supporting brief.

115757000, Jefandant-appellant asks the Court to grant this application and, upon final hearing. And vocate the orders from the Wayne Circuit Court, and laboud this cause to the dayne Circuit Court for further proceedings.

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS

Defendant-Appellant was con victed by jury on April 7, 2003, of first degree premeditated murder, felon in possession of a firearm, and felony firearm in Wayne County Circuit Court, the Honorable Vera Massey Jones presiding.

Defendant was sentenced on April 22, 2003, to mandatory life and 11/2 to 5 years, consecutive to 2 years. On November 9, 2004, the Court of Appeals Affirmed these convictions in an unpublished opinion. Then on may 31, 2005 the Michigan Supreme Court denued Application for leave to appeal.

Then on April 22, 2005 defendant-appellant folded a motion for relief from judgement and supporting brief seeking relief from judgement and conviction and sentence. The Third Circuit Court Odered that defendant must limit his motion and brief to 20 pages. Defendant then resent the 50 page motion and brief with a motion to exceed 20 pages on August 17, 2005. The Circuit Court denied defendant-appellants motion for relief from judgement and brief once again, stating that defendant must limit his motion and brief to 20 pages. Defendant resent the motion for relief from judgement and brief, this time with an unpublished opinion from the Court of Appeals (People v. Kenneth P. Bryd, Docket #201394, L.C.#87-000901-FC.) The Circuit Court sent the motion and brief back to defendant-appellant again, stating that the motion and brief must have a limit of 20 pages, and that defendant-appellant must appeal the order given september 8, 2005. (See order's and opinion's attached).

ARGUMENT 1

DEFENDANT-APPELLANT WAS DENIED THE RIGHT TO FILE A MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGEMENT AND BREIF IN SUPPORT BY THE CICUIT COURT DENYING DEFENDANTS-APPELLANTS MOTION BECAUSE IT WAS OVER 20 PAGES

The standard of review for filing Motions for Relief from Jucgement and set requirements are under MCR 6.500, and MCR 6.001(D)(3).

Defendant-Appellant filed a Motion for Relief from Judgement and brief in support to the Third Circuit Court for the County of Wayne on April 22, 2005 The Circuit Court returned defendant-appellants motion and brief with an Order stating that defendant-appellant must limt his motion and brief to 20 pages. Also stating that MCR 2.119 limits a motion and brief to 20 pages. Defendant -Appellant resent the Motion for Relief from Judgement and brief in support again on August 17, 2005, with a motion to file brief exceeding 20 pages. The Circuit Court again returned defendant-appellants motion and brief, wth another Order stating that motion is denied because fifty pages is for a Court that does nothing but appeals, and that defendant must limit his motion and brief to 20 pages. Once again defendant-appellant resent the motion for relif from judegment and brief in support, this time with an Order from the Court of Appeals dated October 28, 1997, People v. Kenneth P. Bryd, Docket #201394, L.C. #87-000901-FC. The Circuit Court agair sent back defendant-appellants motion and brief with another Order stating that defendant must limit his motion and brief to 20 pages, and that an unpublishied order from the Court of Appeals applies only to the Court that recieved the Order. And also stated that defendant-appellant must appeal the order dated september 8, 2005. (see attached orders and opinions).

The 20 page limitation of MCR 2.119 (a)(2) is inapplicable to Motions for Relief from Judgement in procedding under subchapter 6.500 of the Court Rules because MCR 6.502(c) sets forth the requirements for motions filed under subchapter 6.500. See MCR 6.001(d)(3).

The Court of Appeals specifically stated that the 20 page limitation of MCR 2.119 (A)(2) is inapplicable to motions filed for Relief from Judgement in proceedings under subchapter 6.900 of the Court Rules. The Circuit Court used MCR 2.119 to deny defendants-appellants motion for relief from judegement and supporting brief, Defendant-Appellant asks this Court to grant this Application and reverse the Circuit Courts Order based on the above, because 6.502(c) sets forth the requirements for motions filed under subchapter 6.500, not MCR 2.119.

### RELIEF

WHEREFORE, this Court should reverse the Circuit Courts decision,

Respect Fully submitted,

Michon D. Houston#316461
Bellamy Creek Correctional Facility
1727 Bluewater Highway
Lonia, Mi 48846

Dated: 2-23-06

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS

#### EXPLAINING THE DELAY IN FILING THE APPEAL

The Third Circuit Court for the County of WAyne issued three seperate opinions and Orders in this case, July 25, 2005; September 8, 2005; and November 28, 2005. The opinions and Orders were issued in defendants-appellants case because of the fact that defendant filed a motion for Relief From judgement and supporting brief, seeking relief from judgement and conviction and sentence in this case. Defendant-Appellant filed the Motion for Relief from judgement three different times, april 22, 2005; August 17,2005; and November 14,2005; In which the Circuit Court denied for the reasons of the motion and brief being over 20 pages. (See attached Orders). The reason the defendant-appellant did not meet the 21 day deadline in an application for leave to appeal to appeal the Circuit Courts decision is because defendant-appellant was not aware that he had to appeal the Oder dated September 8, 2005 until an order was issued on November 28,2005, in which that order stated to appeal the order issued september 8, 2005. It never was stated in the order issued September 8, 2005 to appeal the decision. Therefore when defendant-appellant was informed to appeal the september 8. 2005 order in the order issued on November 28,2005 it was already well over the 21 day requirement. Furthermore defendant-appellant lacks the ability and proper knowledge to perfect a proper Application for leave to appeal. Defendant-Appellant is filing the Application in Pro Per, with no assistance, therefore appellant probrably would have needed more than 21 days.

#### STATEMENT OF MICHIGAN

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN PLAINTIFF-APPELLEE

-vs-

MICHON D. HOUSTON DEFENDANT-APPELLANT

WAYNE County Prosecutor Attorney for plaintiff-Appellee 1441 St. Antoine St. Detroit, Mi 48226

Michon D. Houston #316461 Defendant-Appellant In Pro Per Bellamy Creek Correctional Facility 1727 W. Bluewater Highway Ionia, Mi 48846

#### MOTION FOR WAIVER OF FEES/COSTS

NOW COMES Defendant-Appellant Michon D. Houston, in Pro Per and moves this Honorable Court pursuant to MCR 2.002(D) for waiver of fees/costs associated with the defendant-appellant's Motion for Relief from Judgement and any evidentiary matters arising there therefrom.

Defendant-Appellant has submitted along with this motion, defendant's-appellant's Affidavit of Indegency with a certificate of Inmate Account Activit establishing his indigency for the Court.

It is for the reasons set forth in Defendant-Appellants Affidavit of Indigency that he prays this Honorable Court will grant this motion.

Respectfully Submitted.

Michon D. Houston #316461
Defendant-Appellant in Pro Per
Bellamy Creek Correctional Facility
1727 W. Bluewater Highway

#### STATE OF MICHIGAN

#### IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN PLAINTIFF-APPELLEE

-vs-

MICHON D. HOUSTON DEFENDANT-APPELLANT

WAyne County Prosecutor Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellee 1441 St. Antoine St. Detroit, Mi 48226

Michon D. Houston #316462 Defendant-Appellant In Pro Per Bellamy Creek Correctional Facilty 1727 W. Bluewater Highway Ionia, Mi 48846

Supreme Court No. 127674

Court of Appeals No. 248742

Lower Court No. 03-1609-01

#### AFFIDAVIT OF INDIGENCY

I, Michon D. Houston state and affirm as follows; I am indigent. I have no moneys, stocks, bonds, or other tangible assets. My only source of income is support from family and friends. I declare that the statements herein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Respectfully Submitted,

Defendant-Appellant In Pro Per Bellamy Creek Correctional Facility 1727 W. Bluewater Highway

Ionia, MI 48846

DAted:

MNotatry un Expires Mar. 2, 2006

MythW/ 2/24/06

#### STATE OF MICHIGAN

#### IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN PLAINTIFF-APPOLLES

--y<sub>S</sub>---

MORCE, C VOICES

Vayne County Prosecutor Attorney for Plaintiff-Appelles 1441 St. Aatoine St. Detroit, Mi 48226

Michon D. Jouston #315451 Defendant-Appellant In Pro Per Bellamy Creek Correctional Facility 1727 V. Bluewater Highway Louis, Mi 48845

To; Wayne County Prosecutor Attorney for Plaintiff-Appelled

#### MOTICE OF HEALING

PLIASE TAKE MOTICE that on <u>1-23-06</u> the undersigned will move the Court to grant Defendant-lipstlants Application for Leave to Appeal.

Respectfully Submitted,

Supreme Court No. 127674

Sourt of Appeals To. 248742

Lower Court No. 03-1609-01

Michon D. Houston #315451
Defondant-Appellant In Pro Par
Dellany Creek Correctional Facility
1727 Y. Bluewater Fighway
Tonia, Mi 43845

Dated: 1-12-06

Respectfully Subsitted,

Dated: /-12-06

Mouston #315451 Defendant-Appellant In Pro Per Rellany Greek Correctional Facility 1727 W. Bluevater Highway Ionia, Yi 43345

#### VERIFICATION

I. Michon D. Monston, having read the preceding and being fully apprised thereof ever that the statements contained herein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Signed,

Defendant-Appellant In Pro Per Bellasy Creek Correctional Facility 1727 Blaswater Highway

Ionia, Yi 43046

Dated: 1-12-06

ANNA ESTES, Notary Public State of Michigan

County of lonia My Commission Expires June 24, 2011 Acting in the County of John LA

#### PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN

Plaintiff.

MICHON HOUSTON  Defendant.		Case No. 03-1609 Hon. Vera Massey Jones	
	Defendant.		
	1		

#### **OPINION AND ORDER**

At a session of said Court held in the Frank Murphy Hall of Justice-Criminal Division of the City of Detroit, State of Michigan, County of Wayne on: July 25, 2005

PRESENT: Honorable Vera Massey Jones

Defendant having filed a Motion for relief from judgment and a brief consisting of more than 50 pages.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT defendant's motion and brief be returned to defendant. MCR 2.119 limits a motion and brief to 20 pages. MCR 2.119 is a civil procedure rule which applies to criminal cases pursuant to MCR 6.001(D).

July 25, 2005

**DATED** 

VERA MASSEY JONES

3<sup>RD</sup> Circuit Court Judge

#### PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN

Plaintiff.

Case No. <u>03-1609</u> Hon. Vera Massey Jones

**MICHON HOUSTON** 

Defendant.

#### <u>ORDER</u>

At a session of said Court held in the Frank Murphy Hall of Justice-Criminal Division of the City of Detroit, State of Michigan, County of Wayne on: September 8, 2005

PRESENT: Honorable Vera Massey Jones

Defendant having filed a Motion for brief exceeding 20 pages, the court having read same and having reviewed the court file.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the Motion be and is hereby **DENIED**.

Return brief and motion to defendant. Fifty pages for the Court of Appeals is for a court that does nothing but appeals. This is a trial court. You must limit your motion and brief to 20 pages.

September 8, 2005

DATED

VERA MASSEY JØNÉS 3<sup>RD</sup> Circuit Court Judge

#### PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN

Plaintiff.

<b>MICHON</b>	HOI	ISTON
TATT/11/11	ユミくノし	JULIUIN

Case No. <u>03-1609</u> Hon. Vera Massey Jones

#### **OPINION AND ORDER**

At a session of said Court held in the Frank Murphy Hall of Justice-Criminal Division of the City of Detroit, State of Michigan, County of Wayne on: November 28, 2005

PRESENT: Honorable Vera Massey Jones

Defendant having resent a massive motion and brief.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT defendant must limit his motion and brief to 20 pages. An unpublished opinion from the Court of Appeals applies only to the court that received the order. Your must appeal my order dated September 8, 2005.

November 28, 2005

**DATED** 

Vera/Massey Jones

3<sup>rd</sup> Circuit Court Judge

Case 2:08-cv-10963-LPZ-VMM ECF No. 7-12 filed 09/17/08 PageID.878 Page 34 of 34

ourt of Appeals, State of Michigan

### ORDER

People of MI v Kenneth P. Byrd	Joel P. Hoekstra  Presiding Judge
Docket # 201394	Donald E. Holbrook, Jr.
L.C. # 87-000901-FC	David H. Sawyer
	ludges

The Court orders that the motion for rehearing is GRANTED.

Pursuant to MCR 7,205(D)(2), the February 19, 1997 and January 29, 1997 orders of the Macomb Circuit Court in this cause are VACATED, and this cause is REMANDED to the Macomb Circuit Court for further proceedings on defendant's motion for relief from judgment not inconsistent with this order. The 20-page limitation of MCR 2.119(A)(2) is inapplicable to motions for relief from judgment in proceedings under subchapter 6.500 of the court rules because MCR 6.502(C) sets forth the requirements for motions filed under subchapter 6.500. See MCR 6.001(D)(3).

By this order, this Court expresses no opinion as to the merits of defendant's motion for relief from judgment.

This Court retains no further jurisdiction in this cause.

Presiding Judge

A true copy entered and certified by Ella Williams, Chief Clerk, on

Octiber 28, 1997 Lela William Chief Clerk